WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Orasio Galaviz-Gamez	Case Number: <u>13-01382M-001</u>	
and was re	ance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § epresented by counsel. I conclude by a prependant pending trial in this case.	8142(f), a detention hearing was held on April 5, 2013. Defendant was present conderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a	preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
×	The defendant, at the time of the ch	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant co	ntacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
×	The defendant has a prior criminal I	istory.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexic).	
	The defendant is an amnesty appl substantial family ties to Mexico.	cant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has	
	There is a record of prior failure to a	ppear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade	aw enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	_	of years imprisonment.	
That the time	ne Court incorporates by reference the mate of the hearing in this matter, except as no	erial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court ted in the record.	
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe	ndant will flee.	
2.	No condition or combination of cond	itions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECT	IONS REGARDING DETENTION	
a correction appeal. The of the Unit	ons facility separate, to the extent practicab he defendant shall be afforded a reasonab red States or on request of an attorney for t	If the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in the persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending the opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the use of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
	APPEAL	S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
		s detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to n to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services s		to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial e the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE:	April 5, 2013_		

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge